

From: [REDACTED]
To: HornseaProjectThree@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Objecting to Cawston Proposals
Date: 18 February 2019 10:10:27

Hi

I am writing in reference to the proposals to bring hundreds of unsuitable traffic levels through Cawston High Street within your project.

My property stands within a few feet of the road, and was built in 1780 to withstand horses and carts.

Could you contact me personally and directly to discuss what measures you will put in place at my property to reduce noise, avoid damage to my property and stop my property becoming a danger to passers-by as a result of chimney collapse and wall damage.

This is extremely urgent and needs to be put in place before the proposed traffic levels increase to those within your proposal and will not be satisfied by your general road traffic surveys at other locations which are a considerable distance from my property.

I am [REDACTED] and survive on meagre means, certainly unable to involve legal officials to speak on my behalf, nor am I able to protect my property from your proposal which will undoubtedly cause severe long term structural damage let alone unacceptable noise and vibration through the limited glazing etc.

The intention of your proposal is in total a ridiculous proposition, endangering lives due to narrow paths, blind bends, unsuitable roads and the aforementioned property damage. As much as I realise you are performing your minimum legal requirements perhaps you could consider the terrible impact you will bring on actual people. I have no doubt, that you will kill someone if this goes ahead, and I do await how you move forward to see if this is a consideration or not.

Best Regards

Phil Daniels

UkArtist

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]
Web: www.UkArtist.co.uk

Ukartist Logo small



This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: Phil Daniels UKArtist [REDACTED]

Sent: 16 March 2019 11:34

To: KJ Johansson <KJ.JOHANSSON@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>; 'Emily Woolfenden' <EMWOO@orsted.co.uk>; 'SIMPSON, Keith' <keith.simpson.mp@parliament.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Proposal for Hornsea

Importance: High

Karl, Emily and Keith,

I am emailing this time to finally implore you to stop this proposal for this project through Cawston.

I have just seen that around 150 very long, extremely wordy, documents have been uploaded onto the PINS website.

Plans have changed several times, major concerns set aside with repetitive statements (ie The Council says the road is suitable for HGV's so we are within our rights) as answers, even when proof is evident of structural damage already taking place.

I am not a legal expert, I am [REDACTED], I am forced to live on [REDACTED] and I cannot pay for a solicitor to read these documents, let alone help me. Although all the residents of the village equally oppose this whole proposal I do still feel alone and unable to protect my property from the damage this will cause, potentially leaving me homeless.

I did not think that in our country, in this day and age, that someone vulnerable to this kind of corporate action would just be railroaded, but that is how it feels.

I simply cannot see the sense in bringing this kind of destructive construction traffic through a small country village, when there are virtually empty roads that give the same access to the final destination. Only 'difficulty' and 'cost' seem factors in not taking those choices, preferring the personal loss I will encounter if this goes ahead.

Despite pointing out to inspectors where my house is already cracking and parts are falling off into the road, I now see the latest plans propose to bring all of this new heavily laden traffic right up against my house, and not the opposite side of the road, or even an entirely separate route. How can this damage be seen by the company, ignored, and set aside entirely with bland statements as above, without even offering any form of protection to my property or ensuring its safety? I am confused and dismayed and urge that this is not allowed to continue, that I am afforded protection by my MP and Council and the company realises the personal suffering they are causing before it is too late.

With the threat of another company following along behind this current one, it is hard to imagine what the future holds here.

Best Regards

Phil Daniels

UkArtist

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Email:

[REDACTED]

Web: www.UkArtist.co.uk

PhilDaniels
Art in Minds